Extended Discrete KP Hierarhy and its Reductions from a Geometric Viewpoint \*

#### ANDREI K. SVININ

Institute of System Dynamics and Control Theory, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 1233, 664033 Irkutsk, Russia

**Abstract.** We interpret the recently suggested extended discrete KP (Toda lattice) hierarchy from a geometrical point of view. We show that the latter corresponds to the union of invariant submanifolds  $S_0^n$  of the system which is a chain of infinitely many copies of Darboux-KP hierarchy, while the intersections  $S_0^n \cap S_{l-1}^{ln-r}$  yield a number of reductions to l-field lattices.

Keywords: discrete KP hierarchy, invariant submanifold

### 1. Introduction

In our previous work [1] we introduced a so-called extended discrete KP (edKP) hierarchy. Let us give a sketch of the picture of this within the framework of a Sato-like approach.

One considers the phase-space  $\mathcal{M}$  which consists of the entries of diagonal matrices  $w_k = (w_k(i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \ k \geq 1$ . To each point of  $\mathcal{M}$ , one associates an infinite set of "wave" operators

$$\{S^{(n)} = I + \sum_{k>1} w_k z^{k(n-1)} \Lambda^{-kn} : n \ge 1\}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a shift operator acting as  $(\Lambda f)(i) = f(i+1)$ . The flow on  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to the parameter  $t_p^{(n)}$  is defined by the equation of motion<sup>1</sup>

$$z^{(n-1)p} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t_p^{(n)}} = Q_+^{pn} S - S\Lambda^{pn} \tag{1}$$

with the Lax operator  $Q \equiv S\Lambda S^{-1}$ . Evolutions of S yield evolutions of Q in the form of the Lax equations

$$z^{(n-1)p} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t_p^{(n)}} = [Q_+^{pn}, Q]. \tag{2}$$

The collection of evolution parameters  $\{t_p^{(n)}, n \geq 1, p \geq 1\}$  can be grouped into infinite sets  $t^{(n)} \equiv (t_1^{(n)} \equiv x^{(n)}, t_2^{(n)}, \dots)$  — multitimes. Any subsystem of edKP hierarchy (1) corresponding to fixed n is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We use here the shorthand notation S for  $S^{(n)}$  and Q for  $Q^{(n)}$ .



© 2008 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported in part by INTAS grant 2000-15.

reffered to, due to [3] as nth discrete KP hierarchy. In particular, in the case n = 1, (1) (or (2)) gives equations of ordinary discrete KP (Toda lattice) hierarchy. It was shown in [1], [3] that nth discrete KP in fact is equivalent to bi-infinite sequence of continuous KP hierarchy copies with corresponding  $\partial^{(n)}$ -Lax operators  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  glued together by compatible gauge transformations. From this we learn that the flows of nth discrete KP hierarchy commute with each other.

The aim of this Letter is to discuss edKP hierarchy from geometric point of view which was proposed in a series of the papers [4]. The main inspiration of this work is the paper [2], where the authors exploiting the concept of Darboux covering (see also [5, 6]) unify many notions commonly used in KP theory. Among these are Miura and Darboux transformations, Krichever rational reductions etc.. In particular they showed that well known discrete KP hierarchy can be interpreted as a restriction of Darboux–KP (DKP) chain (4) on some invariant submanifold.

In the present paper we generalize this result by showing more general class of invariant submanifolds of DKP chain phase-space. This allows us to give simple geometric interpretation of edKP hierarchy and its reductions. We show that multi-times  $t^{(1)}, t^{(2)}, ...$ , in fact, corresponds to different invariant submanifolds  $S_0^1, S_0^2, ...$  We believe that geometrical point of view allows offer clearer interpretation of the role of different multi-times in definition of edKP hierarchy (1).

The Letter is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove theorem which provides us by a class of invariant submanifolds of DKP chain  $S_l^n$ . In Section 3, we show that submanifold  $S_0^n$  corresponds to nth discrete KP. Finally, Section 4 is concerned with a class of reductions of edKP which are shown to be tantamount to intersections of some invariant submanifolds.

# 2. DKP chain

To begin with, let us recall the notion of the KP hierarchy following along the lines suggested in [4]. The main idea behind this approach is in fact an outcome of bihamiltonian formalism of evolution equations defined on special infinite-dimensional Poisson manifold  $\mathcal{P}$ . The points of  $\mathcal{P}$  are parametrized by infinite sets of smooth scalar functions  $\{h_k(x), k \geq 1\}$  of spatial variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . To every point of  $\mathcal{P}$  one associates monic Laurent series

$$h(z,x) = z + \sum_{k>1} h_k(x)z^{-k}$$

with z being considered as the point of unit circle and Faà di Bruno iterates  $h^{(k)}$  constructed, starting from  $h^{(0)} = 1$ , with the help of recurrence relation  $h^{(k+1)} = \partial h^{(k)}/\partial x + h h^{(k)}$ . Then one introduces negative iterates  $h^{(-1)}, h^{(-2)}, \dots$  by solving recursively the equations

$$\frac{\partial h^{(-1)}}{\partial x} + hh^{(-1)} = 1,$$

$$\frac{\partial h^{(-2)}}{\partial x} + hh^{(-2)} = h^{(-1)}$$

and so on. Having in mind Faà di Bruno iterates, one defines polarization of Laurent series space

$$L = \left\{ \sum_{-\infty \ll k < \infty} l_k z^{-k} \right\} = H_+ \oplus H_-$$

with  $H_+ = \operatorname{span}(h^{(k)}: k \geq 0)$  and  $H_- = \operatorname{span}(h^{(k)}: k < 0)$ . The currents  $H^{(p)}$  are defined as projection  $H^{(p)} = \pi_+(z^p)$  with respect to this polarization. More explicitly, one can write

$$H^{(p)} = h^{(p)} + \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} r_k^p[h] h^{(k)},$$

where the coefficients  $r_k^p$  can be uniquely specified so that  $H^{(p)}$  will be of the form  $H^{(p)}=z^p+\mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ . For example, one has

$$H^{(1)} = h = z + h_1 z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}),$$
  
$$H^{(2)} = h^{(2)} - 2h_1 = z^2 + (h_{1x} + 2h_2)z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2})$$

and so on. Then the KP equations are defined, in this approach, in the form of local conservation laws

$$\partial_p h = \partial H^{(p)}, \ p \ge 1$$

with  $\partial_p \equiv \partial/\partial t_p$  and  $\partial \equiv \partial/\partial x$ . <sup>2</sup> The series h(z), in this connection, is treated as generating function of Hamiltonian densities. It is worth mentioning the invariance property [4]

$$(\partial_p + H^{(p)})H_+ \subset H_+ \tag{3}$$

which will be used in proof of Proposition 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For any function a of evolution parameters we will write  $\partial a$  and  $\partial_p a$  to denote derivatives with respect to corresponding variable.

Using fundamental concept of Darboux covering, in [2], was introduced the notion of DKP hierarchy

$$\partial_p h = \partial H^{(p)}$$
  
 $\partial_p a = a(\tilde{H}^{(p)} - H^{(p)})$ 

which turned out to be instrumental, in particular, in constructing invariant submanifolds of KP hierarchy. Here  $a(z,x) = z + \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k(x) z^{-k}$  and  $\tilde{H}^{(p)}$  is the current  $H^{(p)}$  evaluated at the point  $\tilde{h} = h + a_x/a$ .

Fixing any integer  $i_0$  one identifies  $h = h(i_0)$ ,  $\tilde{h} = h(i_0 + 1)$  and  $a = a(i_0)$ . With  $\tilde{h}$ , one defines "new" DKP hierarchy

$$\begin{split} \partial_p \tilde{h} &= \partial \tilde{H}^{(p)} \\ \partial_p \tilde{a} &= \tilde{a} (\tilde{\tilde{H}}^{(p)} - \tilde{H}^{(p)}). \end{split}$$

Again one identifies  $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h} + \tilde{a}_x/\tilde{a} = h(i_0 + 2)$  with  $\tilde{a} = a(i_0 + 1)$ . Continuation of this process gives infinitely many copies of DKP labeled by  $i_0, i_0 + 1, i_0 + 2, ...$  It is clear this process can be also performed backwards with respect to i. In this way one arrives at the system

$$\partial_p h(i) = \partial H^{(p)}(i) 
\partial_p a(i) = a(i)(H^{(p)}(i+1) - H^{(p)}(i)).$$
(4)

In what follows, for brevity, we call this system DKP chain.

This differential-difference system was considered in [2]. It was claimed there that submanifold  $S_l$  defined by the constraint  $\pi_-(i) \left(z^l a(i)\right) = 0^3$  is invariant with respect to (4). In the following we show more general result stating in the Theorem 1 below, but before let us prove following technical lemma.

LEMMA 1. For submanifold  $S_l^n$  of DKP lattice phase-space defined by the constraint

$$\pi_{-}(i)\left(z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i)\right) = 0$$
 (5)

the following holds

1) 
$$\left(\partial_p + H^{(p)}(i)\right) z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) \in H_+(i),$$
 (6)

2) 
$$z^{p(l-n+1)}a^{[pn]}(i) \in H_+(i), \ \forall p \ge 0.$$
 (7)

Let us pause a bit to give some remarks conserning our notation. In (5)  $a^{[k]}(i)$ 's are discrete Faà di Bruno iterates defined by recurrence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Here and in Lemma 1  $\pi_{-}(i)$  stands for projection of any Laurent series on the space  $H_{-}(i)$ .

relation  $a^{[k+1]}(i) = a(i)a^{[k]}(i+1)$  with  $a^{[0]}(i) \equiv 1$ . From the definition of  $a^{[k]}(i)$ 's it follows the obvious relation

$$a^{[k_1]}(i+k_2)a^{[k_2]}(i) = a^{[k_1]}(i)a^{[k_2]}(i+k_1) = a^{[k_1+k_2]}(i), \ \forall k_1, k_2 \in \mathbf{Z}.$$
 (8)

Observe that from the definition of  $a^{[k]}(i)$ 's and (4) one gets

$$\partial_p a^{[n]}(i) = a^{[n]}(i)(H^{(p)}(i+n) - H^{(p)}(i)), \ \forall n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$
 (9)

*Proof (of Lemma1)*. First, let us prove by induction that by virtue of DKP chain equations (4), the identity

$$(\partial + h(i))^k z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) = z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) h^{(k)}(i+n), \quad \forall k \ge 0$$
 (10)

holds. For k = 0 (10) is clear. Suppose that (10) is true for some  $k \ge 0$ . Then by virtue of (4) and (9) we have

$$\begin{split} (\partial + h(i))^{k+1} z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) &= z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) (h(i+n) - h(i)) h^{(k)}(i+n) \\ &+ z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) \partial h^{(k)}(i+n) + z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) h(i) h^{(k)}(i+n) \\ &= z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) h^{(k+1)}(i+n). \end{split}$$

So (10) is proved. Since, by definition, on  $S_l^n$  we have  $z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i) \in H_+(i)$ , from (10) we get

$$z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i)H_{+}(i+n) \subset H_{+}(i). \tag{11}$$

Now taking into account (11) we get

$$(\partial_p + H^{(p)}(i))z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i) = z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i)(H^{(p)}(i+n) - H^{(p)}(i))$$
$$+z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i)H^{(p)}(i) = z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i)H^{(p)}(i+n) \in H_+(i).$$

So we have shown (6). Formula (7) can be easily proven by induction using the relation

$$z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i) \cdot z^{p(l-n+1)}a^{[pn]}(i+n) = z^{(p+1)(l-n+1)}a^{[(p+1)n]}(i)$$

which follows from (8). Therefore the lemma is proved.

Now we are in position to prove

THEOREM 1. The submanifold  $S_l^n$  defined by the constraint (5) is tangent with respect to the flows of DKP chain (4).

*Proof.* In what follows, it is covenient to define the functions  $a_k^{[n]}(i)$  through the relation

$$a^{[n]}(i) = z^n + \sum_{k>0} a_k^{[n]}(i) z^{n-k-1}$$

which are evidently polynomials of  $a_k(i)$ . Then

$$z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i) = z^{l+1} + \sum_{k>0} a_k^{[n]}(i)z^{l-k}.$$

With these relations the constraint (5), as can be easily checked, can be written as

$$z^{l-n+1}a^{[n]}(i) = H^{(l+1)}(i) + \sum_{m=0}^{l} a_m^{[n]}(i)H^{(l-m)}(i).$$
 (12)

So, to prove the theorem one has to show that on  $S_l^n$  the identity

$$\partial_p \left( z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) - H^{(l+1)}(i) - \sum_{m=0}^l a_m^{[n]}(i) H^{(l-m)}(i) \right) = 0$$

holds. The relation (6) from the above Lemma, implies

$$\partial_p \left( z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) \right) + H^{(p)}(i) \left( H^{(l+1)}(i) + \sum_{m=0}^l a_m^{[n]}(i) H^{(l-m)}(i) \right) \in H_+(i).$$

Taking into consideration that  $H^{(m)}(i)H^{(p)}(i)+\partial_p H^{(m)}(i)\in H_+(i)$ , for all  $m,p\geq 1$ , which follows from invariance property (3), one can write

$$\partial_p \left( z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) - H^{(l+1)}(i) \right) - \sum_{m=0}^l a_m^{[n]}(i) \partial_p H^{(l-m)}(i) \in H_+(i).$$

After a look at positive powers of z, one gets

$$\partial_{p} \left( z^{l-n+1} a^{[n]}(i) - H^{(l+1)}(i) \right) - \sum_{m=0}^{l} a_{m}^{[n]}(i) \partial_{p} H^{(l-m)}(i)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{l} \left( \partial_{p} a_{m}^{[n]}(i) \right) H^{(l-m)}(i).$$

Therefore the theorem is proved.

### 3. edKP hierarchy from geometric point of view

Let us discuss restriction of DKP chain on submanifold  $S_0^n$  with  $n \ge 1$ , which is defined by relation

$$h(i) = z^{1-n} a^{[n]}(i) - a_0^{[n]}(i) = z^{1-n} a^{[n]}(i) - \sum_{s=1}^n a_0(i+s-1)$$
 (13)

which is specification of (12). Observe that restricted DKP lattice equations, thanks to (13), entirely can be rewritten in terms of coordinates  $a_k(i)$ . From this point, we need to introduce multi-times  $t^{(1)}, t^{(2)}, \dots$  to differ the motion on invariant submanifolds  $S_0^1, S_0^2, \dots$ 

In what follows, it is useful to introduce, besides  $a_k(i)$ , coordinates  $q_k^{(n,r)}(i)$ , for any integer r, by the relation<sup>4</sup>

$$z^{r} = a^{[r]}(i) + \sum_{k>1} q_{k-1}^{(n,r)}(i)z^{k(n-1)}a^{[r-kn]}(i).$$
 (14)

Since  $z^{k(n-1)}a^{[r-kn]}(i)$  is a monic Laurent series of degree (r-k), the coefficients  $q_k^{(n,r)}(i)$  are properly defined as polynomial functions of coordinates  $a_k(i)$ .

Let us define attached to any  $n \ge 1$  the set of currents  $\{K^{[np]}(i), p \ge 1\}$ 1} as

$$K^{[np]}(i) = a^{[np]}(i) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} q_{k-1}^{(n,pn)}(i) z^{k(n-1)} a^{[(p-k)n]}(i).$$

It is clear that  $K^{[np]}(i)$  is of the form

$$K^{[np]}(i) = z^{np} + \mathcal{O}(z^{(n-1)p-1}).$$

PROPOSITION 1. By virtue of (7)

$$z^{(1-n)p}K^{[np]}(i) = H^{(p)}(i). (15)$$

 $z^{(1-n)p}K^{[np]}(i)=H^{(p)}(i). \tag{15}$  Proof. First, notice that  $z^{(1-n)p}K^{[np]}(i)$  and  $H^{(p)}(i)$  are Laurent series of the same form:  $z^p + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ . To proceed, it is enough to use the relation (7) for l=0.

Taking into consideration (15) we can write equations of DKP chain restricted on submanifold  $S_0^n$  as

$$z^{(n-1)p}\partial_p^{(n)}a(i) = a(i)\left(K^{[np]}(i+1) - K^{[np]}(i)\right)$$
(16)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that (14) is simply the relation which serves as the map  $\{a_k(i)\}$  $\{q_k^{(n,r)}(i)\}\$  without reference to any invariant submanifold.

with  $\partial_p^{(n)} \equiv \partial/\partial t_p^{(n)}$ .

Well known exactness property [4]

$$\partial_q H^{(p)}(i) = \partial_p H^{(q)}(i)$$

entails

$$z^{(n-1)q}\partial_q^{(n)}K^{[np]}(i) = z^{(n-1)p}\partial_p^{(n)}K^{[nq]}(i).$$

Therefore, one can introduce, for any integer i, the oscillatory function  $\Psi_i(z;t^{(1)},t^{(2)},...)=\Psi_i(z,t)$  such that

$$H^{(p)}(i) = z^{(1-n)p} K^{[np]}(i) = \frac{\partial \ln \Psi_i}{\partial t_p^{(n)}}.$$
 (17)

Definition 1. A Baker–Akhiezer function  $\Psi_i(z,t)$  is any solution of the system (17) of the form

$$\Psi_i(z,t) = z^i \left( 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} w_k(t) z^{-k} \right) \exp\left( \sum_{n \ge 1} \xi(t^{(n)}, z) \right)$$

with  $\xi(t^{(n)}, z) \equiv \sum_{p \ge 1} t_p^{(n)} z^p$ .

Remark 1. This function is defined up to the multiplication by a constant monic Laurent series of degree 0.

A bi-infinite sequence of  $\Psi_i$ 's forms the wavevector  $\Psi = \{\Psi_i, i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ . The relationship between the components of  $\Psi$  and a(i) and its discrete Faà di Bruno iterates is provided by simple relation

$$a^{[k]}(i) = \frac{\Psi_{i+k}}{\Psi_i}.\tag{18}$$

Taking into consideration (14), for r = 1, (17) and (18) we arrive at the linear system

$$Q\Psi = z\Psi, \quad z^{(n-1)p}\partial_p^{(n)}\Psi = Q_+^{pn}\Psi \tag{19}$$

with

$$Q = \Lambda + \sum_{k \ge 1} q_{k-1}^{(n,1)} z^{k(n-1)} \Lambda^{r-kn}.$$

The compatibility condition of the linear system (19) coincides with edKP Lax equation (2). So we can conclude that (16) and (2) are equivalent while one-to-one correspondence  $\{a_k(i)\} \leftrightarrow \{q_k^{(n)}(i) \equiv q_k^{(n,1)}(i)\}$  is given by the relation

$$z = a^{[1]}(i) + \sum_{k \ge 1} q_{k-1}^{(n)}(i) z^{k(n-1)} a^{[1-kn]}(i).$$

### 4. Reductions of edKP hierarchy

As was observed in [1], edKP hierarchy admits a class of reductions specified by conditions  $q_k^{(n,r)}(i) \equiv 0$  when  $k \geq l$  with some  $l \geq 1$ . As a result, one can derive many well known integrable discrete models like: generalized Toda lattices, Bogoyavlenskii lattices, Belov–Chaltikian lattice and other known in the literature (see [1], [7] and references therein). Let us show that these reductions can be interpreted from geometric point of view simply as intersections of two invariant submanifolds of DKP chain.

PROPOSITION 2. Restriction of DKP chain on submanifold  $S_0^n \cap S_{l-1}^{ln-r}$  entails reduction of edKP hierarchy specified by condition  $q_k^{(n,r)}(i) \equiv 0$  for  $k \geq l$ .

*Proof.* The intersection  $S_0^n \cap S_{l-1}^{ln-r}$  is defined by two conditions

$$z^{1-n}a^{[n]}(i) \in H_+(i)$$
 and  $z^{l(1-n)+r}a^{[ln-r]}(i) \in H_+(i)$ . (20)

Thus, we have to prove that (20) leads to

$$z^{r} = a^{[r]}(i) + \sum_{k=1}^{l} q_{k-1}^{(n,r)}(i) z^{k(n-1)} a^{[r-kn]}(i).$$

The Laurent series  $z^{l(1-n)+r}a^{[ln-r]}(i)$  is of degree l. From the formula (7) of the Lemma one derives that on  $S_0^n \cap S_{l-1}^{ln-r}$  the latter can be uniquely represented as the linear combination<sup>5</sup>

$$z^{l(1-n)+r}a^{[ln-r]}(i) = z^{l(1-n)}a^{[ln]}(i) + \sum_{k=1}^{l} c_{k-1}(i)z^{(l-k)(1-n)}a^{[(l-k)n]}(i)$$
(21)

with some coefficients  $c_k(i)$ . On the other hand shifting in (14)  $i \to i + ln - r$  and multiplying then l.h.s. and r.h.s. by  $z^{l(1-n)}a^{[ln-r]}(i)$  we have

$$z^{r+l(1-n)}a^{[ln-r]}(i) = z^{l(1-n)}a^{[ln-r]}(i)a^{[r]}(i+ln-r) + \sum_{k\geq 1} q_{k-1}^{(n,r)}(i+ln-r)z^{(l-k)(1-n)}a^{[ln-r]}(i)a^{[r-kn]}(i+ln-r).$$

Taking into account (8) we obtain

$$z^{r+l(1-n)}a^{[ln-r]}(i) = z^{l(1-n)}a^{[ln]}(i)$$

$$+ \sum_{k>1} q_{k-1}^{(n,r)}(i+ln-r)z^{(l-k)(1-n)}a^{[(l-k)n]}(i).$$
(22)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Since on  $S_0^n$  one has  $H_+(i) = \operatorname{span}(1, z^{1-n} a^{[n]}(i), z^{2(1-n)} a^{[2n]}(i), \dots)$ .

Comparing (21) with (22) yields  $c_k(i) = q_k^{(n,r)}(i+ln-r)$ . Moreover, we see that the restrictions (20) yield  $q_k^{(n,r)}(i) \equiv 0$ , for  $k \geq l$ . Thus we complete the proof.

# Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Prof. Paolo Casati for bringing [5, 6] to my attention and anonymous referee for carefully reading manuscript and for a number of remarks which enabled the presentation of the paper to be improved.

#### References

- Svinin, A.K.: Extension of the discrete KP hierarchy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 35 (2002), 2045-2056.
- Magri, F., Pedroni, M. and Zubelli, J.P.: On the geometry of Darboux transformations for the KP hierarchy and its connection with the discrete KP hierarchy, Commun. Math. Phys., 188 (1997), 305-325.
- 3. Svinin, A.K.: nth discrete KP hierarchy, in: A.G. Nikitin, V.M. Boyko and R.O. Popovich (eds), Proc. of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine 4th Conf. Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, Institute of Mathematics, Kyiv, 2002, 43, Part 1, pp. 372-376.
- Casati, P., Falqui, G., Magri, F. and Pedroni, M.: The KP theory revisited I, II, III, IV, SISSA preprints 1996, ref. SISSA/2-5/96/FM.
- Casati, P., Falqui, G., Magri, F., and Pedroni, M.: Darboux coverings and rational reductions of the KP hierarchy, Lett. Math. Phys., 41 (1997), 291-305.
- 6. Casati, P., Falqui, G., Magri, F., Pedroni, M. Zubelli: Darboux transformations and Darboux coverings: some application to the KP hierarchy, in: 5th Workshop on Partial Differential Equations, Rio de Janeiro, 1997 Mat. Contemp. 15 (1998), pp. 46-65.
- Svinin, A.K.: A class of integrable lattices and KP hierarchy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 34 (2001), 10559-10568.